

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/821,965	03/30/2001	Peter Bernhard Kaars	US000204	1058	
75	10/03/2003	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Corporate Pate	ent Counsel	BACKER,	BACKER, FIRMIN		
Philips Electron 580 White Plair	nics North America Co ns Road	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER ·		
Tarrytown, NY 10591			3621	( )	
		DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						$\leq$			
•			Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		1	09/821,965		KAARS, PETER	BERNHARD			
Offic	Offic	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
•			Firmin Backer		3621	_			
7 Period for R		ING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
1)⊠ R	esponsi	ve to communication(s) filed on 30 h	<u> March 2001</u> .						
<u> </u>		·	is action is non-fi						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims									
· <u> </u>			<b>1</b> .						
-		above claim(s) is/are withdraw		ation.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.									
· <u> </u>		is/are objected to.							
·	–	are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment.					
Application									
9) <u></u> Th€	e specifi	cation is objected to by the Examine	r.			•			
10) <u></u> The	drawin	g(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) Object	ed to by the Exan	niner.				
Α	pplicant	may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The	oath or	declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority und	er 35 U	.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) 🗌 Ad	knowled	dgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a)	All b)□	] Some * c)☐ None of:							
1.[	☐ Cert	ified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	ived.					
2.[	☐ Cert	ified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	ived in Application	on No				
	<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14)∏ Ackı	nowledg	ment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	) (to a provisional	application).			
		anslation of the foreign language pro gment is made of a claim for domesti	• •						
Attachment(s)			, · · ·	<b>50</b>	•				
2) 🔲 Notice of	Draftsper	es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🗌		(PTO-413) Paper Nor atent Application (PT				
Patent and Traden	nark Office					<del></del>			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This is in response to a letter for patent filed on March 30<sup>th</sup>, 2001 in which claims 1-22 are presented for examination. Claims 1-22 are pending in the letter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete and tangible result.

For a claimed to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a method claim to pass the muster, the recited method must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case the inventive concept in claims 1 and 9 only recite an abstract idea.

The recited step of financially charging a content provider ..... etc. does not apply, involve, use

Application/Control Number: 09/821,965 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

or advance the technological arts since all the steps can be performed in the mind of the user or by use of pencil and paper and no specific technology (e.g. computer, processor) is expressly recited in the body of the claims. *In re Toma (CCPA 197 USPQ 852 (1978))*.

Although the recited method produces a useful, concrete and tangible result, since the claimed invention, as a whole, it not within the technological arts as explained above, claims 1 and 9 are deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 4. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jacobs et al (U.S. PG Pub 2001/0034763 A1).
- 5. As per claims 1 and 9, Jacobs et al teach a inventive method of being compensated for a transfer of a software element to render a content information comprising advertising a content information and financially charging a content provider of the content information based on the transfer of the software element triggered by an individual's request for the content information (see fig 9, paragraphs 0011, 0012, 0013,042).

Art Unit: 3621

6. As per claims 2-8, 10-22, they disclose the same inventive concept as claims 1 and 9.

Therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. (see form 892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Firmin Backer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0624. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Firmin Backer

A at I In: 4 2 6

Art Unit 3621

September 24, 2003